

# Ambient (outdoor) air quality and health

Fact sheet N°313

Updated March 2014

## Key facts

Air pollution is a major environmental risk to health. By reducing air pollution levels, countries can reduce the burden of disease from stroke, heart disease, lung cancer, and both chronic and acute respiratory diseases, including asthma.

The lower the levels of air pollution, the better the cardiovascular and respiratory health of the population will be, both long- and short-term.

The "WHO Air quality guidelines" provide an assessment of health effects of air pollution and thresholds for health-harmful pollution levels.

Ambient (outdoor air pollution) in both cities and rural areas was estimated to cause 3.7 million premature deaths worldwide in 2012.

Some 88% of those premature deaths occurred in low- and middle-income countries, and the greatest number in the WHO Western Pacific and South-East Asia regions.

Policies and investments supporting cleaner transport, energy-efficient housing, power generation, industry and better municipal waste management would reduce key sources of urban outdoor air pollution.

Reducing outdoor emissions from household coal and biomass energy systems, agricultural waste incineration, forest fires and certain agro-forestry activities (e.g. charcoal production) would reduce key rural and peri-urban air pollution sources in developing regions.

Reducing outdoor air pollution also reduces emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and short-lived climate pollutants such as black carbon particles and methane, thus contributing to the near- and long-term mitigation of climate change.

In addition to outdoor air pollution, indoor smoke is a serious health risk for some 3 billion people who cook and heat their homes with biomass fuels and coal.

## Background

Outdoor air pollution is a major environmental health problem affecting everyone in developed and developing countries alike.

WHO estimates that some 80% of outdoor air pollution-related premature deaths were due to ischaemic heart disease and strokes, while 14% of deaths were due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or acute lower respiratory infections; and 6% of deaths were due to lung cancer.

Some deaths may be attributed to more than one risk factor at the same time. For example, both smoking and ambient air pollution affect lung cancer. Some lung cancer deaths could have been averted by improving ambient air quality, or by reducing tobacco smoking.

A 2013 assessment by WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) concluded that outdoor air pollution is carcinogenic to humans, with the particulate matter component of air pollution most closely associated with increased cancer incidence, especially cancer of the lung. An association also has been observed between outdoor air pollution and increase in cancer of the urinary tract/bladder.

Ambient (outdoor air pollution) in both cities and rural areas was estimated to cause 3.7 million premature deaths worldwide per year in 2012; this mortality is due to exposure to small particulate matter of 10 microns or less in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>), which cause cardiovascular and respiratory disease, and cancers.

People living in low- and middle-income countries disproportionately experience the burden of outdoor air pollution with 88% (of the 3.7 million premature deaths) occurring in low- and middle-income countries, and the greatest burden in the WHO Western Pacific and South-East Asia regions. The latest burden estimates reflect the very significant role air pollution plays in cardiovascular illness and premature deaths – much more so than was previously understood by scientists.

Most sources of outdoor air pollution are well beyond the control of individuals and demand action by cities, as well as national and international policymakers in sector like transport, energy waste management, buildings and agriculture.

There are many examples of successful policies in transport, urban planning, power generation and industry that reduce air pollution:

**for industry:** clean technologies that reduce industrial smokestack emissions; improved management of urban and agricultural waste, including capture of methane gas emitted from waste sites as an alternative to incineration (for use as biogas);

**for transport:** shifting to clean modes of power generation; prioritizing rapid urban transit, walking and cycling networks in cities as well as rail interurban freight and passenger travel; shifting to cleaner heavy duty diesel vehicles and low-emissions vehicles and fuels, including fuels with reduced sulfur content;

**for urban planning:** improving the energy efficiency of buildings and making cities more compact, and thus energy efficient;

**for power generation:** increased use of low-emissions fuels and renewable combustion-free power sources (like solar, wind or hydropower); co-generation of heat and power; and distributed energy generation (e.g. mini-grids and rooftop solar power generation);

**for municipal and agricultural waste management:** strategies for waste reduction, waste separation, recycling and reuse or waste reprocessing; as well as improved methods of biological waste management such as anaerobic waste digestion to produce biogas, are feasible, low cost alternatives to the open incineration of solid waste. Where incineration is unavoidable, then combustion technologies with strict emission controls are critical.

In addition to outdoor air pollution, indoor smoke is a serious health risk for some 3 billion people who cook and heat their homes with biomass fuels and coal. Some 4.3 million premature deaths were attributable to household air pollution in 2012. Almost all of that burden was in low-middle-income countries as well.

The 2005 "WHO Air quality guidelines" offer global guidance on thresholds and limits for key air pollutants that pose health risks. The Guidelines indicate that by reducing particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) pollution from 70 to 20 micrograms per cubic metre (µg/m), we can cut air pollution-related deaths by around 15%.

The Guidelines apply worldwide and are based on expert evaluation of current scientific evidence for:

particulate matter (PM)  
ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)  
nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and  
sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), in all WHO regions.

## Particulate matter

### Definition and principal sources

PM affects more people than any other pollutant. The major components of PM are sulfate, nitrates, ammonia, sodium chloride, black carbon, mineral dust and water. It consists of a complex mixture of solid and liquid particles of organic and inorganic substances suspended in the air. The most health-damaging particles are those with a diameter of 10 microns or less, ( $\leq$  PM<sub>10</sub>), which can penetrate and lodge deep inside the lungs. Chronic exposure to particles contributes to the risk of developing cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, as well as of lung cancer.

Air quality measurements are typically reported in terms of daily or annual mean concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> particles per cubic meter of air volume (m<sup>3</sup>). Routine air quality measurements typically describe such PM concentrations in terms of micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m<sup>3</sup>). When sufficiently sensitive measurement tools are available, concentrations of fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub> or smaller), are also reported.

### Health effects

There is a close, quantitative relationship between exposure to high concentrations of small particulates (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and increased mortality or morbidity, both daily and over time. Conversely, when concentrations of small

and fine particulates are reduced, related mortality will also go down – presuming other factors remain the same. This allows policymakers to project the population health improvements that could be expected if particulate air pollution is reduced.

Small particulate pollution have health impacts even at very low concentrations – indeed no threshold has been identified below which no damage to health is observed. Therefore, the WHO 2005 guideline limits aimed to achieve the lowest concentrations of PM possible.

### Guideline values

#### PM<sub>2.5</sub>

10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> annual mean

25 µg/m<sup>3</sup> 24-hour mean

#### PM<sub>10</sub>

20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> annual mean

50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> 24-hour mean

In addition to guideline values, the Air Quality Guidelines provide interim targets for concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> aimed at promoting a gradual shift from high to lower concentrations.

If these interim targets were to be achieved, significant reductions in risks for acute and chronic health effects from air pollution can be expected. Progress towards the guideline values, however, should be the ultimate objective.

The effects of PM on health occur at levels of exposure currently being experienced by many people both in urban and rural areas and in developed and developing countries – although exposures in many fast-developing cities today are often far higher than in developed cities of comparable size.

"WHO Air Quality Guidelines" estimate that reducing annual average particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) concentrations from levels of 70 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, common in many developing cities, to the WHO guideline level of 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, could reduce air pollution-related deaths by around 15%. However, even in the European Union, where PM concentrations in many cities do comply with Guideline levels, it is estimated that average life expectancy is 8.6 months lower than it would otherwise be, due to PM exposures from human sources.

In developing countries, indoor exposure to pollutants from the household combustion of solid fuels on open fires or traditional stoves increases the risk of acute lower respiratory infections and associated mortality among young children; indoor air pollution from solid fuel use is also a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung cancer among adults.

There are serious risks to health not only from exposure to PM, but also from exposure to ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). As with PM, concentrations are often highest largely in the urban areas of low- and middle-income countries. Ozone is a major factor in asthma morbidity and mortality, while nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide also can play a role in asthma, bronchial symptoms, lung inflammation and reduced lung function.

### Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)

#### Guideline values

##### O<sub>3</sub>

100 µg/m<sup>3</sup> 8-hour mean

The recommended limit in the 2005 Air Quality Guidelines was reduced from the previous level of 120 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in previous editions of the "WHO Air Quality Guidelines" based on recent conclusive associations between daily mortality and lower ozone concentrations.

#### Definition and principal sources

Ozone at ground level – not to be confused with the ozone layer in the upper atmosphere – is one of the major constituents of photochemical smog. It is formed by the reaction with sunlight (photochemical reaction) of pollutants such as nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) from vehicle and industry emissions and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by vehicles, solvents and industry. As a result, the highest levels of ozone pollution occur during periods of sunny weather.

#### Health effects

Excessive ozone in the air can have a marked effect on human health. It can cause breathing problems, trigger asthma, reduce lung function and cause lung diseases. In Europe it is currently one of the air pollutants of most concern. Several European studies have reported that the daily mortality rises by 0.3% and that for heart diseases by 0.4%, per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in ozone exposure.

## Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

#### Guideline values

##### NO<sub>2</sub>

40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> annual mean

200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> 1-hour mean

The current WHO guideline value of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (annual mean) was set to protect the public from the health effects of gaseous.

#### Definition and principal sources

As an air pollutant, NO<sub>2</sub> has several correlated activities.

At short-term concentrations exceeding 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, it is a toxic gas which causes significant inflammation of the airways.

NO<sub>2</sub> is the main source of nitrate aerosols, which form an important fraction of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and, in the presence of ultraviolet light, of ozone.

The major sources of anthropogenic emissions of NO<sub>2</sub> are combustion processes (heating, power generation, and engines in vehicles and ships).

#### Health effects

Epidemiological studies have shown that symptoms of bronchitis in asthmatic children increase in association with long-term exposure to NO<sub>2</sub>. Reduced lung function growth is also linked to NO<sub>2</sub> at concentrations currently measured (or observed) in cities of Europe and North America.

## Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)

#### Guideline values

##### SO<sub>2</sub>

20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> 24-hour mean

500 µg/m<sup>3</sup> 10-minute mean

A SO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 500 µg/m<sup>3</sup> should not be exceeded over average periods of 10 minutes duration. Studies indicate that a proportion of people with asthma experience changes in pulmonary function and respiratory symptoms after periods of exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> as short as 10 minutes.

The (2005) revision of the 24-hour guideline for SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from 125 to 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was based on the following considerations.

Health effects are now known to be associated with much lower levels of SO<sub>2</sub> than previously believed.

A greater degree of protection is needed.

Although the causality of the effects of low concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> is still uncertain, reducing SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations is likely to decrease exposure to co-pollutants.

**Definition and principal sources**

SO<sub>2</sub> is a colourless gas with a sharp odour. It is produced from the burning of fossil fuels (coal and oil) and the smelting of mineral ores that contain sulfur. The main anthropogenic source of SO<sub>2</sub> is the burning of sulfur-containing fossil fuels for domestic heating, power generation and motor vehicles.

**Health effects**

SO<sub>2</sub> can affect the respiratory system and the functions of the lungs, and causes irritation of the eyes. Inflammation of the respiratory tract causes coughing, mucus secretion, aggravation of asthma and chronic bronchitis and makes people more prone to infections of the respiratory tract. Hospital admissions for cardiac disease and mortality increase on days with higher SO<sub>2</sub> levels. When SO<sub>2</sub> combines with water, it forms sulfuric acid; this is the main component of acid rain which is a cause of deforestation.

**WHO response**

WHO develops and produces "Air quality guidelines" recommending exposure limits to key air pollutants.

WHO creates detailed health-related assessments of different types of air pollutants, including particulates and black carbon particles, ozone, etc.

WHO produces evidence regarding the linkage of air pollution to specific diseases, such as cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and cancers, as well as burden of disease estimates from existing air pollution exposures, global and regional.

WHO's "Health in the green economy" series is assessing the health co-benefits of climate mitigation and energy efficient measures that reduce air pollution from housing, transport, and other key economic sectors.

WHO's work on "Measuring health gains from sustainable development" has proposed air pollution indicators as a marker of progress for development goals related to sustainable development in cities and the energy sector.

WHO assists Member States in sharing information on successful approaches, on methods of exposure assessment and monitoring of health impacts of pollution.

The WHO co-sponsored "Pan European Programme on Transport Health and Environment (The PEP)", has built a model of regional, Member State and multi-sectoral cooperation for mitigation of air pollution and other health impacts in the transport sector, as well as tools for assessing the health benefits of such mitigation measures.